1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney				
3	EMUI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division				
4	SUSAN KNIGHT (CSBN 209013) Assistant United States Attorney				
5	150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113				
7	Telephone: (408) 535-5056 FAX: (408) 535-5066 Susan.Knight@usdoj.gov				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
9	Autorite ys for Traintiff				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11					
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
14					
15	*E EU ED . 0/00/05*				
16	*E-FILED - 6/29/05*				
17					
L /					
18					
18					
18 19					
18 19 20					
18 19 20 21					
18 19 20 21					
118 119 220 221 222 223					
118 119 220 221 222 23 224					
18 19 220 221 222 23 24 25					
18 119 220 221 222 23 224 225					

Case 5:05-cr-00342-RMW Document 18 Filed 06/29/05 Page 2 of 3

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 05-00342 RMW	
2	Plaintiff,)		
3	v.		ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) & 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv))	
4	DANIEL ZUNIGA REYES and)		
5	DAVID LEON GARCIA,	<u> </u>		
6	Defendants.)		
7		- /		
8	addition, Mr. Leeming explained that some of the discovery was in Spanish and would need to be translated into English. Therefore, the parties jointly requested that the case be continued			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17 18				
19	SO STIPULATED.		VIN V. RYAN ited States Attorney	
20	DATED:	CII	/S/ SAN KNIGHT	
21			sistant United States Attorney	
22	DATED:		/S/	
23		PE'	/S/ FER A. LEEMING unsel for David Garcia	
24				
25	DATED:	$\overline{\mathrm{AL}}$	/S/ EX REISMAN	
26			unsel for Daniel Reyes	
27	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that a status hearing be scheduled for			
28	September 26, 2005 at 9:00 a.m.			
	ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME CR 05-00342 RMW		2	

Case 5:05-cr-00342-RMW Document 18 Filed 06/29/05 Page 3 of 3

The Court FURTHER ORDERS that the time between June 20, 2005 and September 26, 2005 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act. The Court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendants effective preparation of counsel. The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). IT IS SO ORDERED. 6/29/05 /S/ RONALD M. WHYTE RONALD M. WHYTE Dated United States District Judge

ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME CR 05-00342 RMW